

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Dox 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,950	08/04/2003	Steven R. Pargman	09741620-0428	5191
26263 75	90 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
SONNENSCH	IEIN NATH & ROSEI	NTHAL LLP	OLSON,	LARS A
P.O. BOX 0610	080 VE STATION, SEARS T	TOWFR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL	60606-1080	OWER	3617	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>~~~</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·
- X	10/633,950	PARGMAN, STEVEN	R
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lars A Olson	3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply			SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the set of the maximum statutory per - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the development of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory may be statutory minimum to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) □ 3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the m .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)∟ o the drawing(s) be held in abe orrection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	: 1.121(d).)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received priority documents have bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National S	stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10272003.	(8) Paper SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	.152)

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadorus (US 3,857,191) in view of Loudermilk et al. (US 6,393,401).

Sadorus discloses a visual-audio device, as shown in Figures 1-9, that is comprised of a picture frame, defined as Part #10, for displaying a picture, defined as Part #11, a universal support, defined as Part #75, with a front face, a back face and an outer peripheral edge, an audio player device, defined as Part #16, that includes a storage component, defined as Part #20, for storing sounds, a speaker, defined as Part #54 or 105, for playing said sounds, and at least one switch, defined as Part #18 or 110, for activating said audio player device in order to play said sounds, a stand, defined as Part #114, that is connected to said universal support, as shown in Figure 6, a mount for connecting said frame to said universal support, as shown in Figures 6 and 7, and an opening, as shown in Figures 1 and 5, for viewing said picture through said frame when said frame is connected to said support.

Art Unit: 3617

Sadorus, as set forth above, discloses all of the features claimed except for the use of an audio recorder device and a microphone that is operatively connected to a storage component for recording sounds.

Loudermilk et al. discloses a picture display device, as shown in Figures 1A-9, that includes a picture frame, defined as Part #8, a microphone, defined as Part #12, 25 or 34, a speaker, defined as Part #28 or 36, at least one switch, defined as Part #9 or 26, an analog-to-digital converter, defined as Part #38, a CPU, defined as Part #30, and a storage component, defined as Part #32, that receives and stores audio information from said microphone.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a microphone and an audio recorder device with a storage component, as taught by Loudermilk et al., in combination with the visual-audio device as disclosed by Sadorus for the purpose of providing a means to both record and play audio messages on a device that is coupled to a picture frame.

3. Claims 2, 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadorus in view of Loudermilk et al., and further in view of Chang (US 5,836,565).

Sadorus in combination with the teachings of Loudermilk et al. shows all of the features claimed except for the use of a plurality of tabs that are rotatably coupled to a rearward surface of a picture frame for selectively connecting said frame to a universal support, and a stand that is pivotally connected to said universal support.

Chang discloses a supporting device for a picture frame, as shown in Figures 1-5, that is comprised of a picture frame, defined as Part #20, a universal support,

Art Unit: 3617

universal support, as shown in Figures 2 and 3, a plurality of tabs, defined as Part #22,

that are rotatably coupled to a rearward surface of said picture frame for selectively

defined as Part #12, a stand, defined as Part #13, that is pivotally connected to said

connecting said picture frame to said universal support, and a recess that is sized and

shaped to receive said universal support when said picture frame is connected to said

universal support, as shown in Figure 1.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to utilize a plurality of rotatable tabs to connect a universal support to a picture frame, and a stand that is pivotally connected to a universal support, as taught by Chang, in combination with the visual-audio device as disclosed by Sadorus and the teachings of Loudermilk et al. for the purpose of providing a selectively engageable means for connecting a universal support to a picture frame in order to facilitate the insertion and removal of a picture into or from said picture frame, and a

Conclusion

pivoting stand to allow said picture frame to be displayed in a variety of positions.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Camillery (US 5,794,371) discloses a picture frame system that includes a means for recording and playing audio information. Roy (US 5,524,370) discloses a system for attaching a backing plate to a picture frame by means of a plurality of locking tabs.

Art Unit: 3617

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Exr. Lars Olson whose telephone number is (703) 308-9807.

10

September 21, 2004

LARS A. OLSON PATENT EXAMINER

9/21/04